

Notes on Algebraic Geometry by U.Görtz and T.Wedhorn

Xiaolong Liu

Abstract

This is a note about the book [UT1] which aims to fix some gaps in it. So we will cite the book [UT1] without statement.

Contents

1	Page 217, Subsection (8.6)	2
2	Page 350, Subsection (12.14)	2
3	Page 383, Subsection (13.7)	3
4	Page 397, Subsection (13.12)	4

1 Page 217, Subsection (8.6)

Consider the scheme S and quasi-coherent sheaf \mathcal{E} , then we define a functor $\text{Grass}^e(\mathcal{E}) : (\text{Sch}/S)^{\text{opp}} \rightarrow (\text{Sets})$ as

$$\text{Grass}^e(\mathcal{E})(T) = \{\mathcal{U} \subset h^*(\mathcal{E}) : h^*(\mathcal{E})/\mathcal{U} \text{ is locally free of rank } e\},$$

where $h : T \rightarrow S$ be a S -scheme.

Easy to see that $\text{Grass}^e(\mathcal{E})(T) \rightarrow \text{Grass}^e(\mathcal{E})(T')$, $\mathcal{U} \mapsto f^*(\mathcal{U})$ induced by $f : T' \rightarrow T$ is well defined.

Lemma 1. *Surjections of quasi-coherent \mathcal{O}_S -modules $v : \mathcal{E}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_2$ induce $i_v : \text{Grass}^e(\mathcal{E}_2) \rightarrow \text{Grass}^e(\mathcal{E}_1)$.*

Proof. Consider $h : T \rightarrow S$, then define $i_v(T) : \mathcal{U} \mapsto \ker(h^*(\mathcal{E}_1) \rightarrow h^*(\mathcal{E}_2)/\mathcal{U})$. □

Theorem 1. *Morphism $i_v : \text{Grass}^e(\mathcal{E}_2) \rightarrow \text{Grass}^e(\mathcal{E}_1)$ is representable and is a closed immersion.*

Proof. Let $h : X \rightarrow S$ and take any $f : X \rightarrow \text{Grass}^e(\mathcal{E}_1)$. Via the Yoneda lemma, it's corresponding to $\mathcal{V}_X \in \text{Grass}^e(\mathcal{E}_1)(X)$. Consider:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Grass}^e(\mathcal{E}_2) \times_{\text{Grass}^e(\mathcal{E}_1)} X & \longrightarrow & X \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ \text{Grass}^e(\mathcal{E}_2) & \xrightarrow{i_v} & \text{Grass}^e(\mathcal{E}_1) \end{array}$$

Via the inverse isomorphism in Yoneda lemma it's easy to see that $f(T)(w) = w^*(\mathcal{V}_X)$. So for $g : T \rightarrow S$ we have

$$\text{Grass}^e(\mathcal{E}_2) \times_{\text{Grass}^e(\mathcal{E}_1)} X(T) = \{w \in X(T) : w^*(\mathcal{V}_X) \in \text{Im}(i_v(T))\},$$

so $w^*(\mathcal{V}_X) \in \text{Im}(i_v(T))$ if and only if $g^*(\mathcal{E}_1)/w^*(\mathcal{V}_X) \rightarrow g^*(\mathcal{E}_2)/g^*(v)(w^*(\mathcal{V}_X)) \rightarrow g^*(\mathcal{E}_2)/\mathcal{U}$ induced by $g^*(v)$ is an isomorphism where these are locally free of rank e and $g^*(\mathcal{E}_1)/w^*(\mathcal{V}_X) \rightarrow g^*(\mathcal{E}_2)/g^*(v)(w^*(\mathcal{V}_X))$ is surjective, then if and only if $g^*(\mathcal{E}_1)/w^*(\mathcal{V}_X) \rightarrow g^*(\mathcal{E}_2)/g^*(v)(w^*(\mathcal{V}_X))$ is an isomorphism, which is equivalent to $\ker g^*(v) \subset w^*(\mathcal{V}_X)$, that is, $\ker(g^*(v)) \rightarrow g^*(\mathcal{E}_1)/w^*(\mathcal{V}_X)$ is zero. So we use Proposition 8.4 to $\ker(g^*(v)) \rightarrow g^*(\mathcal{E}_1)/w^*(\mathcal{V}_X)$ and well done. □

2 Page 350, Subsection (12.14)

Proposition 1 (Proposition 12.66). *Let k be a field and let X be a proper geometrically connected and geometrically reduced k -scheme. Then $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = k$.*

Proof. By the condition we may assume k is an algebraically closed field. Take $s \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$, we consider $s \in \text{Hom}_k(k[T], \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X)) = \text{Hom}_k(X, \mathbb{A}_k^1)$. Consider the following diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & Z = s(X) & & \\ & \nearrow & & \searrow & \\ X & \xrightarrow{s} & \mathbb{A}_k^1 & \hookrightarrow & \mathbb{P}_k^1 \\ & \searrow & \nwarrow & \swarrow & \\ & & \text{Spec } k & & \end{array}$$

As X is proper, we know that $X \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_k^1$ and $X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_k^1$ are also proper. The image $Z = s(X)$ is closed in \mathbb{A}_k^1 . Consider Z as a closed reduced subscheme of \mathbb{A}_k^1 . Since $X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_k^1$ is proper, Z is a proper closed in \mathbb{P}_k^1 , so Z is a finite set in \mathbb{P}_k^1 . As X is connected, Z is connected. So $Z = \text{Spec}k'$ for some finite extension k'/k . As k is an algebraically closed field we have $k' = k$. So $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = k$. \square

Thus we use Corollary 12.64 and the previous Proposition, we find that:

Theorem 2. *Let k be a field and let X be a proper k -scheme. Then $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{F})$ is a finite-dimensional k -vector space for every coherent \mathcal{O}_X -module \mathcal{F} .*

3 Page 383, Subsection (13.7)

In this subsection many details and definitions are omitted, so we aim to add some content about the related proj.

Let S be a scheme and \mathcal{A} be a graded quasi-coherent \mathcal{O}_S -algebra. Then for any affine open $U \subset S$ we have graded $\Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}_S)$ -algebra $\Gamma(U, \mathcal{A})$. So we have a separated U -scheme $\text{Proj}\Gamma(U, \mathcal{A})$.

Take affine open sets $U \subset V \subset S$, we have the following pullback diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Proj}\Gamma(U, \mathcal{A}) & \longrightarrow & \text{Proj}\Gamma(V, \mathcal{A}) \\ \downarrow \pi_U & & \downarrow \pi_V \\ U & \longrightarrow & V \end{array}$$

Then we can glue it into a scheme $\underline{\text{Proj}}_S \mathcal{A} \rightarrow S$ as the following pullback diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Proj}\Gamma(U, \mathcal{A}) & \xrightarrow{\eta_U} & \underline{\text{Proj}}_S \mathcal{A} \\ \downarrow \pi_U & & \downarrow \pi \\ U & \longrightarrow & S \end{array}$$

where ψ_U be an open immersion and $\pi^{-1}(U) = \text{Im}\eta_U \cong \text{Proj}\Gamma(U, \mathcal{A})$.

Next we will find out the functor $\tilde{} : \mathfrak{Gr}\mathcal{QcoMod}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \mathcal{QcoMod}(\underline{\text{Proj}}_S \mathcal{A})$ where $\mathfrak{Gr}\mathcal{QcoMod}(\mathcal{A})$ be the category of graded quasi-coherent \mathcal{A} -modules and $\mathcal{QcoMod}(\underline{\text{Proj}}_S \mathcal{A})$ be the category of quasi-coherent $\mathcal{O}_{\underline{\text{Proj}}_S \mathcal{A}}$ -modules.

Theorem 3. *Let \mathcal{M} be a graded quasi-coherent \mathcal{A} -module, then there exists a canonical quasi-coherent $\mathcal{O}_{\underline{\text{Proj}}_S \mathcal{A}}$ -module $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}$ such that for any affine open $U \subset S$ we have*

$$\eta_U^* \tilde{\mathcal{M}} \cong \Gamma(U, \mathcal{M})^\sim, (\eta'_U)_* \Gamma(U, \mathcal{M})^\sim \cong \tilde{\mathcal{M}}|_{\text{Im}\eta_U}$$

where $\eta_U : \text{Proj}\Gamma(U, \mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \underline{\text{Proj}}_S(\mathcal{A})$ be an open immersion and $\eta'_U : \text{Proj}\Gamma(U, \mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \text{Im}\eta_U$ be the isomorphism.

If $u : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ be a morphism of graded quasi-coherent \mathcal{A} -modules, then there is a canonical morphism $\tilde{u} : \tilde{\mathcal{M}} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{N}}$. So we defines a exact functor $\tilde{} : \mathfrak{Gr}\mathcal{QcoMod}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \mathcal{QcoMod}(\underline{\text{Proj}}_S \mathcal{A})$.

Proof. We omit the proof and one can see [DM1] for the detailed information. The exactness of the functor follows from that $\tilde{} : \Gamma(U, \mathcal{A}) - \mathfrak{Gr}\mathcal{QcoMod} \rightarrow \mathcal{QcoMod}(\text{Proj}\Gamma(U, \mathcal{A}))$ is exact. \square

By this theorem, we can prove that $\underline{\text{Proj}}_{S'}(g^* \mathcal{A}) \cong \underline{\text{Proj}}_S \mathcal{A} \times_S S'$ where $g : S' \rightarrow S$. Similar as $(g^* \mathcal{M})^\sim \cong g'^*(\tilde{\mathcal{M}})$ where $g' : \underline{\text{Proj}}_{S'}(g^* \mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \underline{\text{Proj}}_S(\mathcal{A})$.

Definition 1. Let $X = \underline{\text{Proj}}_S \mathcal{A}$, then we denote $\mathcal{O}_X(n) := \widetilde{\mathcal{A}(n)}$. For a quasi-coherent \mathcal{O}_X -module \mathcal{F} , we let $\mathcal{F}(n) := \mathcal{F} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{O}_X(n)$.

Proposition 2. If \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N} be graded quasi-coherent \mathcal{A} -modules, then we have

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{M}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\underline{\text{Proj}}_S \mathcal{A}}} \widetilde{\mathcal{N}} \cong (\mathcal{M} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{N})^\sim.$$

Proof. Also use the previous theorem and this is easy to see. \square

Then we have $\mathcal{F}(m) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\underline{\text{Proj}}_S \mathcal{A}}} \mathcal{F}(n) \cong \mathcal{F}(m+n)$ for all quasi-coherent $\mathcal{O}_{\underline{\text{Proj}}_S \mathcal{A}}$ -module \mathcal{F} . Similarly we have $(\mathcal{M}(n))^\sim \cong \widetilde{\mathcal{M}(n)}$ for all graded quasi-coherent \mathcal{A} -module \mathcal{M} .

Definition 2. From now we let \mathcal{A} generated by \mathcal{A}_1 as \mathcal{A}_0 -algebra. Let $X = \underline{\text{Proj}}_S \mathcal{A}$ and $\pi : X \rightarrow S$. First we define $\alpha_n : \mathcal{M}_n \rightarrow \pi_*(\mathcal{M}(n))^\sim$ for graded quasi-coherent \mathcal{A} -module \mathcal{M} . Since for affine U we have $\mathcal{M}_n(U) \rightarrow \Gamma(\text{Proj} \Gamma(U, \mathcal{A}), \Gamma(U, \mathcal{M}(n))^\sim) \cong \Gamma(\pi^{-1}U, \widetilde{\mathcal{M}(n)})$ before as $m \mapsto m/1$ at affine local, then by gluing we have the morphism $\alpha_n : \mathcal{M}_n \rightarrow \pi_*(\mathcal{M}(n))^\sim$.

Then for quasi-coherent \mathcal{O}_X -module \mathcal{F} we let

$$\Gamma_*(\mathcal{F}) = \bigoplus_n \pi_* \mathcal{F}(n).$$

Moreover, if $f : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$, we have $\bigoplus_n \pi_*(f(n)) : \Gamma_*(\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \Gamma_*(\mathcal{G})$. So we defined a functor $\Gamma_*(-) : \mathcal{QcoMod}(X) \rightarrow \mathfrak{GrQcoMod}(\mathcal{A})$.

Theorem 4. Let \mathcal{A} is finitely generated as \mathcal{O}_S -algebra, then we have an adjoint pair $(\widetilde{}, \Gamma_*(-))$:

$$\mathfrak{GrQcoMod}(\mathcal{A}) \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{\widetilde{}} \\ \xrightarrow{\Gamma_*(-)} \end{array} \mathcal{QcoMod}(X)$$

Proof. This is a little bit complicated and we omitted the proof of it. \square

4 Page 397, Subsection (13.12)

For $f : X \rightarrow Y$, the bijection

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(f^*\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{F}) \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_Y}(\mathcal{G}, f_*\mathcal{F})$$

gives us the following decompositions.

For $u : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow f_*\mathcal{F}$ we have

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{G} & \xrightarrow{u} & f_*\mathcal{F} \\ \searrow & & \nearrow \\ \text{id}_{f_*\mathcal{F}}^b & & f_*(u^\sharp) \\ & \searrow & \nearrow \\ & f_*f^*\mathcal{G} & \end{array}$$

and for $v : f^*\mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ we have

$$\begin{array}{ccc} f^*\mathcal{G} & \xrightarrow{v} & \mathcal{F} \\ \searrow & & \nearrow \\ f^*(v^\flat) & & \text{id}_{f_*\mathcal{F}}^\sharp \\ & \searrow & \nearrow \\ & f^*f_*\mathcal{F} & \end{array}$$

References

- [UT1] Ulrich Görtz, Torsten Wedhorn, *Algebraic Geometry I: Schemes, 2ed edition*, Springer Spektrum, 2020.
- [St] Stacks project collaborators, *Stacks project*, <https://stacks.math.columbia.edu/>.
- [DM1] Daniel Murfet, *The Relative Proj Construction*, <http://therisingsea.org/notes/>, 2006.
- [EGA1] Alexander Grothendieck, Jean Dieudonné. *Éléments de géométrie algébrique I*, Publications Mathématiques. Institute des Hautes Études Scientifiques, 1960.